Table of Contents

Section 1 – Policies and Procedures

List of Tables

List of Figures

Section 2 – Construction Management Practices (CPs)

2.1   Introduction

2.2   Management Practice Fact Sheets

Section 3 – Temporary Construction Site Runoff Management Practices (TCPs)

3.1   Introduction

3.2   Management Practice Fact Sheets

Section 4 – Permanent Erosion Prevention and Sediment Controls (PESCs)

4.1   Introduction

4.2   Management Practice Fact Sheets

Section 5 – Permanent Stormwater Treatment Controls (PTPs)

5.1 **Introduction**

5.2 **Management Practice Fact Sheets**

Section 6 – Industrial & Commercial Runoff Management Practices (ICPs)

6.1 **Introduction**

6.2 **Management Practice Fact Sheets**

Acknowledgement

Hamilton County Water Quality Program would like to thank Tennessee Department of Environment & Conservation Division of Water Resources, Nashville Metro Water Services, City of Franklin Stormwater, Knox County Stormwater and the Virginia Department of Conservation and Recreation for allowing reference to their Stormwater Design Specifications and providing support in the development of this Manual.

This page intentionally left blank

Chapter 1

1   Introduction

1.1 Background and Purpose

Permit compliance was a key incentive for the development of this Manual. In 2015, the Hamilton County Water Quality Program NPDES MS4 Permit (No. TNS075566) will require that new development and redevelopment sites utilize Green Infrastructure (GI) where possible. The Hamilton County Water Quality Program (the Program) commissioned this Manual to encourage and incentivize Green Infrastructure (GI) and Low Impact Development (LID) design in the Program Area before its use becomes a requirement. After April 2015, the methodology and specifications detailed in this Manual are therefore required in the Program Area. As part of the Program's NPDES MS4 Permit all new development and significant redevelopment will eventually be required to infiltrate, evapotranspire, or capture and reuse the first inch of every rainfall event preceded by 72 hours of no measurable rainfall. Projects that cannot meet this standard will be required to treat stormwater for 80% Total Suspended Solids (TSS) removal.

New developments and redevelopments typically increase the amount of impervious area on a site which affects the flow of water as it rains by increasing the volume and rate of stormwater runoff. If not properly managed, increased peak flows and volumes could result in flooding and channel erosion. In addition, typical stormwater runoff from urban sites contains pollutants such as litter, sediment, oils and greases, nutrients and metals, bacteria, fertilizers and debris.   These pollutants are commonly transported through municipal separate storm sewer systems (MS4s), from which they are often discharged untreated into local water resources. Hamilton County, as well as the cities of Collegedale, East Ridge, Lakesite, Lookout Mountain, Red Bank, Ridgeside, and Soddy-Daisy have been designated by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as a small MS4, and urbanized areas are required under Federal and State law, through the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II Rule, to develop a stormwater management program in order to reduce the discharge of these stormwater pollutants and to achieve the stormwater treatment goals set forth by the U.S. EPA

The State of Tennessee NPDES MS4 Permit requires the development and implementation of permanent (post-construction) stormwater controls comprised of runoff reduction and pollutant removal from new development and redevelopment projects that disturb one acre or more.   The Runoff Reduction Method (RRM) is the preferred control practice as it can achieve both volume control and pollutant removal. Site design standards require, in combination or alone, management measures that are designed, installed and maintained to infiltrate, evapotranspire, harvest and/or use, at a minimum, the first inch of every rainfall event preceded by 72 hours of no measurable precipitation. The first inch of rainfall must be 100% managed with no stormwater runoff being discharged from the site. For projects that cannot meet 100% of the runoff reduction requirements, the remainder of the stipulated amount of rainfall must be treated prior to discharge with a technology expected to remove 80% total suspended solids (TSS) in order to meet water quality requirements.

Authorized through the Hamilton County Water Quality Management Committee, this Stormwater Management Manual has been compiled by the Program to assist planners, developers, contractors and various businesses and industries in complying with the guidelines set forth by the NPDES Phase II Rule. This manual is designed to support the stormwater management language set forth by the Management Committee by way of providing specific guidance for selection of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and

elaborating on various practices. This manual will assist the Program in stormwater pollution prevention and water quality protection through impact-reducing site design, BMP selection, construction management, post-construction management, and good housekeeping measures.

1.2 How to Use This Manual

This Stormwater Management Manual contains the following sections:

Section 1 provides an introduction to the Program's NPDES MS4 Permit requirements. This section discusses the principles of site layout and the policies and procedures for selecting and designing water quality and water quantity controls required for all new development and redevelopment within the Hamilton County Water Quality Program area. This section also presents an introduction to Low Impact Development (LID) design and Green Infrastructure Practices (GIPs).

Section 2 contains construction management practices that should be used for contractor and construction site operations. These management practices focus on good housekeeping measures and capturing pollutants from typical contractor and construction site activities.

Section 3 contains temporary construction site runoff management practices that are consistent with those described in TDEC's Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (EP&SC) Handbook. The NPDES MS4 Permit requires the development and implementation of temporary construction site stormwater controls. This section provides guidance on the BMPs that have been approved for use by the Program.

Section 4 contains permanent erosion prevention and sediment control measures which should be selected during the early planning phase of a project and included in the initial site design.

Section 5 contains permanent stormwater treatment controls that are focused on green infrastructure practices and accepted 80% TSS treatment controls. These management practices should be selected during the site layout and early planning phase of the project in order to achieve the required runoff reduction.

Section 6 contains industrial and commercial treatment practices. These practices are similar to the construction management practices found within Section 2, but are intended for use in an industrial environment rather than on a construction site.

Section 7 contains other source control measures that predominately focus on the management of hazardous materials. These measures can be used in addition to the construction management practices and the industrial and commercial practices.

When planning and designing a site for development or redevelopment within the Program area, this manual is best used in the order shown in Figure 1.

Figure 1 – Site Planning and Development Process

The fact sheets in this manual are designed for easy reference. They are categorized, focused, and concise to allow easy access and expedient use. Each fact sheet can be used as a stand-alone document that may be distributed to facilitate focused discussion about design and/or implementation of each management practice. However, the goals of the Hamilton County Water Quality Program will not be met by simply installing BMPs. This manual is intended to guide the design of a project from start to finish, and stormwater management should be part of the site design considerations in the initial phase of conceptual design. There are some BMPs that require structural practices, though many are non-structural practices where everyday activities may be performed in a manner that limits the impact of stormwater runoff to surface water quality.

1.3 Pollution: Sources and Impacts

1.3.1 General Pollution Overview

Pollution entering streams and channels has many sources and entry paths. Pollutants are generally either washed into surface waters by rainfall runoff or they enter through activities such as connecting non-stormwater drains to the stormwater drainage system or by someone dumping waste into drains or streams directly. The Program focuses on education, prevention and inspection to address the illicit connections or illegal dumping by individuals. This manual articulates the Program's standards to reduce rainfall runoff of pollutants into our natural stream system.

Rainfall induced pollution enters primarily by two methods. The first is erosion and sedimentation (deposition) of sediment and the pollutants sediment contains. The second is the simple runoff of pollution that may lie on impervious surfaces. The following briefly describes these two general pollution sources.

1.3.2 Erosion and Sedimentation Overview

Short-term stormwater quality management predominately focuses on Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (EP&SC) for construction sites; however, EP&SC can also be a concern for fully developed sites. Soil erosion is the process by which soil particles are removed from land surfaces by wind, water or gravity. Natural erosion generally occurs at slow rates. However, the rate of erosion increases when land is cleared or altered and left disturbed. Erosion rates will increase when flow rates and velocities discharged from a site exceed the erosive range.

Severe Gully Erosion

Clearing and grubbing activities during construction remove vegetation and disrupt the structure of the soil surface, leaving the soil susceptible to rainfall erosion, stream and channel erosion, and wind erosion if left untreated. Ultimately, the material suspended by erosion settles during sedimentation in downstream reaches.

Once eroded, soil particles may travel anywhere from a few inches to many miles before gravity causes them to settle. The settling of soil particles is a process known as sedimentation. Excessive levels of sedimentation can create problems such as clogging of storm drains, blockage in streams and channels, damage to existing habitat, and in some cases, formation of habitats in undesirable locations.

There are negative influences on channels, streams and rivers when sediment enters the waterways. As sediment volumes increase in waterways, the overall capacity decreases. This causes an increase in flooding as well as creates excessive maintenance needs.

1.3.2.1 Water Erosion

Rainfall events begin the water erosion process by dislodging minute soil particles. These soil particles then become suspended in the water droplet. The sediment laden water droplets accumulate on the soil until a sufficient quantity has developed to begin flowing under the forces of gravity.

The initial flow of sediment laden water generally consists of a thin, slow-moving sheet, known as sheet flow. In most cases, sheet flow does not prove to be highly erosive; however, it does begin the transport of the sediment that was previously suspended. Irregularities in soil surface and uneven topography will usually cause sheet flow to become concentrated into rivulets where flow causes an increase in velocity and erosive energy. This increase in erosive energy of water flowing in rivulets creates small grooves, or rills, in the soil surface.

Rill erosion of the soil surface concentrates flows, which increases flow velocity and erosive energy due to gravitational forces. This results in deeper and wider rills that may join together with adjacent rills. Typically, rills run parallel to the slope and each other. In addition, rills are small enough to be stepped across, and are usually enlarged by direct erosion of the rill's sides and bottom by the action of flowing water.

The joining together of several adjacent rills, or sufficient enlargement of a single rill, begins gully erosion. In most cases gullies run parallel to the slope and may have one or more lateral branches. Gullies are enlarged by the following four key actions:

1. Gullies often have a "head cut" at the upstream end, which progresses its way upstream as water flowing into the gully erodes away the lip of the head. A waterfall working its way upstream can exemplify this. This can be seen in the picture above.

2. The flow in a gully tends to undercut the banks. Once the banks are sufficiently under cut, the banks will collapse into the gully where the collapsed soil is then washed away.

3. The collapse of banks into the gully causes flowing water to be diverted around the temporary blockage of soil. This temporary blockage of soil increases the velocity along one or both banks, which results in increased bank erosion.

4. The concentration of flows in the gully may result in scour of the gully floor until a stable slope is obtained.

1.3.2.2 Stream and Channel Erosion

Construction activities often require the disturbance of streams and channels. Once vegetation or other bank protection measures are disturbed, flows may begin to erode the unprotected soil.

Construction activities often result in the disturbance of channel and stream flow. However, this should only happen when traversing banks such as a temporary channel and stream crossing, culvert installation, bridge construction, etc. By diverting flows within the channel, velocities are generally increased in some areas to compensate for decreases in other areas. The increase in velocity may exceed those normally experienced by the channel, resulting in bank erosion and bottom scour.

Construction activities and the construction of facilities that increase the quantity and rate of runoff as well as how runoff is conveyed often increases the quantity and flow rate to streams and channels. The increased quantity and rate of flow can cause bank erosion and bottom scour.

1.3.2.3 Wind Erosion

Dust is defined as solid particles or particulate matter small enough to remain suspended in the air for a period of time and large enough to eventually settle out of the air. Dust from a construction site originates as inorganic particulate matter from rock and soil surfaces and material storage piles. The majority of dust generated and emitted into the air at a construction site is related to earth moving operations, demolition, construction traffic on unpaved surfaces, and wind over disturbed soil surfaces.

1.3.2.4 Factors Influencing Erosion

There are five primary factors that influence erosion: soil characteristics, vegetative cover, topography, climate, and rainfall.

Soil Characteristics – Particle size, particle gradation, organic content, soil structure, and soil permeability are all characteristics that contribute to the determination of a soil's erodibility. These characteristics affect the

stability and infiltration capacity of the soil. Less permeable soil has an increased risk of runoff and erosion. Typically, soils that contain high percentages of silts and clays are the most erodible.

Channel flow is also affected by soil characteristics in that tractive-force or shear stress developed by flowing water over the channel banks and bottom may cause soil particles to move and become suspended into the runoff. The "permissible shear" stress indicates the stress that the channel banks and bottom can sustain without jeopardizing stability. It is possible to increase the allowable shear stress in the channel by utilizing "soft/green" or "hard" armoring on the channel bottom and banks.

Vegetative Cover – Vegetative cover creates an erosion shield by stabilizing the soil. In addition, vegetative cover protects soil from direct rain, and also decreases the velocity of runoff. This allows greater infiltration as well as maintains the soil's capacity to absorb water. Vegetative root structures create a favorable soil structure, improving its stability and permeability.

Topography – Slope is a key element in determining the volume and velocity of runoff.   An increase in the slope length, and/or steepness causes an increase in the runoff rate, and consequently, an increase in the potential for erosion.

Climate – High precipitation areas as well as areas with freeze/thaw cycles have significant effects on soil stability and structure.

Rainfall – Frequency, intensity, and duration are fundamental factors in determining the amounts of erosion produced. In Tennessee, the erosion risk period is typically highest in the wet season (typically December through May), which coincides with a period of minimal vegetative cover.

1.3.3 Pollution Runoff Overview

There are a number of pollutants, in addition to sediment, that wash into streams as stormwater runoff. The following describes the most common pollutants in stormwater runoff.

1.3.3.1 Nutrients

Fertilizers, pesticides, construction chemicals, and solid waste contain phosphorus and nitrogen, which can result in excessive or accelerated growth of vegetation or algae. This increase in vegetation results in the impairment of lakes and other water resources and the growth of algae results in the depletion of dissolved oxygen, potentially resulting in fish kills.

1.3.3.2 Oxygen Demanding Substances

The biological decomposition of organic matter in stormwater depletes the amount of dissolved oxygen (DO), which causes biochemical oxygen demand (BOD). BOD measures the degree of dissolved oxygen depletion by expressing the amount of easily oxidized organic matter present in water. In addition, certain non-organics materials in the water can intensify DO depletion.

1.3.3.3 Metals

Artificial surfaces such as galvanized metal, paint, or preserved wood contain metals that can enter stormwater as their surfaces corrode, flake, dissolve, decay, or leach. These metals that are found in urban

stormwater often originate from passenger vehicles. Over half the trace metal load carried in stormwater is associated with sediments to which these eroded metals attach. Heavy metals are of concern because they are toxic to aquatic organisms, can be bioaccumulative, and have the potential to contaminate drinking water supplies.

1.3.3.4 Pesticides

Pesticides are herbicides, insecticides, and rodenticides that are commonly used on construction sites, lawns, parks, golf courses, etc. Excessive or improper application of theses pesticides may result in direct water contamination, indirect water pollution by aerosol drift, or erosion of treated soil and subsequent transport into surface waters.

1.3.3.5 Oil, Grease, and Fuels

These products are widely used and may spill, leak, or be dumped on the ground where they can wash into waterways. Sources include leaks during normal vehicle use, hydraulic line failure, spills during fueling, and inappropriate disposal of drained fluids. These products can cause harm to plant and animal life.

1.3.3.6 Other Toxic Chemicals

Synthetic organic compounds such as adhesives, cleaners, sealants, fertilizers and solvents are often improperly applied, and may also be improperly stored and disposed. Accidental spills, improper storage or deliberate dumping of these chemicals onto the ground or into storm drains causes environmental harm to receiving waters.

1.3.3.7 Miscellaneous Wastes

Miscellaneous wastes include: wash water from concrete mixers; paints and painting equipment cleaning activities; solid organic wastes resulting from trees and shrubs removed during land clearing; wood and paper materials; food and containers, such as paper, aluminum, and metal cans; industrial or heavy commercial process wash/cooling water; vehicle washing and other commercial or industrial wastes; and sanitary wastes.

Chapter 2

2 Principles of Managing Stormwater Quality

2.1 Introduction to Green Infrastructure Practices

Communities are increasingly moving towards Green Infrastructure Practices (GIPs) – or a combination of green and conventional stormwater management practices – to manage stormwater. Green Infrastructure (GI), as used in this Manual, is a term that refers to a subset of Low Impact Development (LID) structural systems and practices that support the principles of LID and make use of volume-reducing designs and calculations. Green Infrastructure systems are an innovative approach to urban stormwater management that does not rely on the conventional end-of-pipe structural methods. Rather, they are an ecosystem-based approach that strategically integrates stormwater controls throughout an urban landscape to attempt to maintain a site's natural pre-development conditions. Targeted community or watershed goals and objectives are addressed through the use of structural and non-structural techniques such as permeable pavement, bioretention/rain gardens, rain barrels, and public outreach.

The overall goal of GIPs is to reduce stormwater runoff volume and to treat pollutant loads close to the source where they are generated. In doing so, GIPs provide many stormwater management benefits; such as improved water quality, flow management, groundwater recharge, and channel protection. GIPs minimize the hydrologic impacts of urban development on the surrounding environment by intrinsically linking stormwater management to urban design and landscape architecture. This is accomplished with appropriate site planning and through the direction of stormwater towards small-scale systems dispersed throughout the site. These systems should be carefully selected based on the site's topographic and climatic conditions. Correctly pairing land uses with GIPs is an important first step in site planning. GIPs, located in Section 5, should be matched with land use and setting, as listed in the GIP criteria fact sheets and detailed in each GIP discussion.

2.1.1 Why Green / Low Impact Development

Current development patterns and traditional storm water management techniques have resulted in large amounts of impervious surfaces in cities across the country – including those in Hamilton County. Conventional development approaches to stormwater management often use practices to quickly and efficiently convey water away from developed areas. This results in larger volumes of runoff flowing directly to streams, rivers and combined sewer systems as well as any pollutants contained in the runoff.

In contrast, Low Impact Development (LID) utilizes a system of source controls and small-scale, decentralized treatment practices to help maintain the hydrologic function of the landscape by allowing water to infiltrate, evapotranspirate or be reused onsite. The conservation of open space, the reduction of impervious surfaces, and the use of small-scale stormwater controls are just a few of the LID practices that can help maintain predevelopment conditions and prevent greater volumes of runoff from routing to the stormwater system.

LID techniques can offer many benefits to Hamilton County.

Community

- ❖ Protect flora and fauna
- ❖ Balance growth needs with environmental protection
- ❖ Reduce municipal infrastructure and utility maintenance costs (streets, curbs, gutters, sidewalks, storm sewers)
- ❖ Encourage private sector participation in green stormwater infrastructure at residential, commercial and industrial facilities
- ❖ Decrease flooding risks for small storms
- ❖ Create attractive, natural and multifunctional public spaces

Developers

- ❖ Reduce land clearing and grading costs
- ❖ Potentially reduce infrastructure costs (streets, curbs, gutters, sidewalks)
- ❖ Reduce stormwater management costs
- ❖ Potentially reduce municipal permitting fees and increase lot yields
- ❖ Increase lot and community marketability

Environment

- ❖ Preserve integrity of ecological and biological systems
- ❖ Protect site and regional water quality by reducing sediment, nutrient and pollutant loads to water bodies
- ❖ Reduce impacts to terrestrial and aquatic plants and animals
- ❖ Preserve trees and natural vegetation
- ❖ Mitigate the heat island effect and reduce energy use

2.2 Introduction to Best Management Practice Selection

Stormwater management measures must be applied in the form of structural and non-structural BMPs as detailed within this manual. This section is in two parts. Temporary BMPs are designed to address construction activities, while permanent BMPs are designed to address long-term stormwater management objectives. Effective planning for both short and long term goals allows for an easy transition from temporary to permanent controls and favorable results with respect to cost and performance. Each unique project has specific risks that can be addressed through properly selected BMPs. In order to reach this goal, specific project risks must first be identified, BMP objectives defined, BMP categories determined, and lastly the appropriate BMPs selected.

2.2.1 Define BMP Objectives

The initial step in the selection and use of BMPs is to define objectives. BMP objectives must address development and construction activities as well as existing industry, businesses and private parties whose activities may contribute to overall water quality. These activities are all unique and require specific knowledge of the pollution risks associated with each activity. This knowledge is essential in selecting BMPs effectively. The following BMP objectives supplement the standards set forth by the Program:

1. **Practice Good Housekeeping**: Proper management of pollutant sources and modification of construction activities can prevent pollutants from draining or being transported off-site.

2. **Contain Waste**: Dispose of all construction waste in designated areas, and prevent stormwater run-on and run-off from these areas.

3. **Minimize Disturbed Areas**: Land clearing should take place only in areas that will be under active construction within a few months of the time of clearing. Phased clearing of a large development is recommended. Land clearing during the rainy season should be avoided if at all possible. Sensitive areas such as steep slopes, riparian buffers, and natural watercourses should never be disturbed if at all possible.

4. **Stabilize Disturbed Areas**: Temporary stabilization techniques should be utilized in areas where there are disturbed soils that are not undergoing active construction. Upon final completion of a construction activity, permanent landscaping, and stabilization should be applied.

5. **Protect Slopes and Channels**: Steep and unstable slopes should not be disturbed if they are outside of the approved grading plan area. Runoff should be conveyed from the top of the slope in a safe manner ensuring that the slope is stabilized as soon as possible. Natural channels should not be disturbed if at all possible. Temporary and permanent channel crossings require stabilizing as quickly as possible to ensure that increases in runoff velocity caused by the project do not erode the channel.

6. **Control Site Perimeter:** Upstream runoff should be diverted either around or through the construction project in a safe manner. These diversions should be designed to ensure that downstream property would not be damaged. In addition, all runoff exiting the construction site should be free of excessive sediment, and other pollutants.

7. **Control Internal Erosion:** Sediment laden water should be detained or otherwise treated within the site to avoid potential pollution to water resources.

Site characteristics and specific contractor activities affect the potential for erosion and pollution by other potential pollutants contained in materials and chemicals used on the construction site. While determining BMP objectives, the following factors should be considered:

1. **Site conditions including the following:**
   - ❖ Soil type, including underlying soil strata that are likely to be exposed to stormwater.
   - ❖ Natural terrain and slope.
   - ❖ Final slopes and grades.
   - ❖ Location of concentrated flows, storm drains, channels, and streams.
   - ❖ Existing vegetation and ground cover.
   - ❖ Climatic factors including the following:
     - o Seasonal rainfall patterns.
     - o Appropriate design storm, which takes into account quantity, intensity, and duration of rainfall.
2. **Type of construction activity.**
3. **Construction schedules, construction sequencing, and phasing of construction.**
4. **Size of construction project and areas to be graded.**
5. **Location of the construction activity relative to adjacent uses and public improvements.**
6. **Types of construction materials and potential pollutants present or that will be brought on-site.**
7. **Floodplain, Floodway, and riparian buffer requirements.**

2.2.2 Determine BMP Categories

Once the BMP objectives are defined, BMP categories must be determined. In order to determine the BMP categories, a plan for the project will be needed. This plan should contain enough detail that drainage patterns, topography, and existing and permanent stormwater control structures can be located with ease. The plan will be required in order to obtain a Stormwater Management Permit, which is required for all development and redevelopment as identified by the Program. The plan should identify the following information, in addition to any plan requirements set forth by the Rules and Regulations:

1. Stormwater entrance and exiting locations. Sheet and Channel flow for the existing and final grading contours should be included. This should be in accordance with the master stormwater management plan for the specific watershed.

2. Identify locations of steep slopes and unlined channels that are subject to high rates of erosion. Long, steep slopes over 100 feet in length are considered as areas of moderate to high erosion potential. Soil bioengineering is preferred for stabilization over rip rap, and other hard armoring techniques.

3. Categorize slopes as:

   a. Low Erosion Potential (0 to 5 percent slope)
   b. Moderate Erosion Potential (5 to 10 percent slope)
   c. High Erosion Potential (slope greater than 10 percent)

4. Identify sensitive areas and water resources that should not be disturbed such as wetlands, springs, sinkholes, floodplains, floodways, sensitive areas or riparian buffers, including other areas where site improvements will not be constructed. Clearing limits should be identified to prevent disturbance of these areas during construction activities.

5. Identify tributary areas for each outfall location. The approximate area of each tributary should be calculated.

6. Identify locations where contractor activities may have a risk of causing a runoff or polluted discharge. (See Section 2, Construction Management Practices, for additional information.)

This plan will allow easy identification of BMP categories that need to be considered on a particular construction project. Planning prior to the commencement of construction and phasing construction activities always prove to be more cost effective than treatment of stormwater after the fact. Preventative maintenance is simpler and less costly than correcting a problem that has already occurred.

Once BMP objectives have been determined, the BMP selection process illustrated in Figure 2 below should be utilized. The BMP selection process is used to determine the BMP objectives that will be met by the various BMPs. Many BMPs can achieve more than one objective, which should be taken into account during the selection process. This allows for selecting the most cost-effective BMP. For example, it is not always necessary to install extensive sediment trapping controls during construction. In fact, sediment trapping should be used only as a short-term measure for active construction areas, and replaced by permanent stabilization measures as soon as possible. However, it should be noted that perimeter/outfall control in the form of permanent detention ponds should be built first and used as temporary sediment control during

construction. After construction is complete and the tributary area is stabilized, the permanent outlet configuration can be reestablished.


Figure 2 - BMP Selection Process

2.2.3 Temporary BMPs for Construction Activities

Temporary BMPs include many different "good housekeeping" methods as well as short term EP&SC activities. The steps involved in utilizing temporary BMPs include design by a Professional Engineer, review and approval by the Program, implementation, maintenance and inspection. The construction site operator and licensed professional engineer should be responsible for the design of these BMPs. The contractor bears the responsibility for constructing, maintaining, implementing, and seeking help when it is apparent that the BMPs are not meeting their objectives.

2.2.3.1 Construction Management Practices

Contractor activities can result in stormwater runoff pollution if not properly managed and these type BMPs are used to minimize the potential for stormwater pollution. It is recognized that all BMPs are not effective on every construction site. It is important that all BMPs are considered, and that those which are effective for the project at hand should be selected. Considerations for selecting BMPs for contractor activities include the following:

1. **Consider precipitation events**. BMPs may be different on rainy days vs. dry days, winter vs. summer, etc. For instance, a material storage area may be covered with a tarp during the rainy season, but not in the summer. However, plans should be made for some amount of rain, even if it is not expected to generate a flooding event.

2. **Consider the amount of material used**. Less intensive BMP implementation may be necessary if a minimal amount of pollutant containing material is used. Remember that different materials pollute in different amounts.

3. **Consider the volume of water used**. The larger the volume of water used and stormwater generated, the more likely that pollutants transported by this water will reach the MS4 system and/or be transported off-site.

4. **Consider the site conditions**. BMPs selected will differ depending on whether the activity is conducted on a slope or flat ground, near a stormwater structure or watercourse, etc. Anticipating

problems and conducting activities away from certain sensitive areas will reduce the cost and inconvenience of performing BMPs.

5. **Consider accidents**. Pre-establishing a BMP for each conceivable pollutant discharge may be very costly and significantly disrupt construction. As a rule of thumb, establish controls for common (daily or weekly) activities and be prepared to respond quickly to accidents.

6. **Define the difference**. Not everything can or will be deemed an accident and may be classified as negligent disregard of proper practices.

Keep in mind that the BMPs for contractor activities are suggested practices that may or may not apply in every case. Construction personnel should be instructed to develop additional or alternative BMPs that are more cost-effective for a particular project. The best BMP is a construction work force aware of the pollution potential of their activities and committed to a clean worksite. BMP Fact Sheets for Construction Management Practices (CPs) are provided in Section 2 of this manual.

2.2.3.2 Construction Site Runoff Management Practices

BMPs for erosion and sediment control are selected to meet the BMP objectives based on specific site conditions, construction activities, and cost-effectiveness. Different BMPs may be needed at different times during construction since construction activities are constantly changing the site conditions.

EP&SC must begin with the initial prevention of erosion. This can be accomplished through soil protection techniques that will prevent the runoff of soil particles. Erosion and sedimentation will most likely occur to some degree due to active construction areas, and BMP s must be selected to address these issues once they have occurred. Sediment Control BMPs allow sedimentation to be removed from flows before these flows exit the construction site. Consequently, the best protection on active construction sites is generally obtained through simultaneous application of both EP&SC BMPs. This combination is effective because it prevents most erosion before it begins and has the ability to capture sediments that become suspended before the transporting flows leave the construction site.

The following general items are provided to aid in preparing the project plans and choosing appropriate EP&SC BMPs:

Minimize Disturbed Areas - Project layout and schedule should be compared with on-site management measures that can limit the exposure of the project site to erosion and sedimentation. The Program sets standards that require responsible construction practices. The following BMPs should be considered in order to reach the desired goals:

1. Do not disturb any portion of the site unless an improvement is to be constructed there immediately.

2. Staging and timing of construction, grading, clearing, etc. can minimize the size of exposed areas and the length of time the areas are exposed and subject to erosion. For example, only areas that are actively involved in cut and fill operations or are otherwise being graded should be exposed.

3. Retain existing vegetation and ground cover where feasible, especially along watercourses and along the downstream perimeter of the site.

4. The first task when construction begins is to construct outfall detention or perimeter sedimentation controls with weirs/berms and temporary sedimentation control barriers. Construction of permanent stormwater control facilities such as detention basins should occur toward the beginning of the project and be used for sediment trapping, slope stabilization, velocity reduction, etc. during the construction period.

5. Construction should be completed as quickly as possible.

6. Landscaping or other stabilization techniques should be installed immediately after the land has been graded to its final contour.

7. Denuded areas should be kept to a minimum during the wet months of December through May.

Stabilize Disturbed Areas – Stabilization is very important because it protects the soil from being eroded away. Stabilization techniques may include vegetative, chemical, or physical soil coverings. It is important to keep in mind that any soil which is exposed is subject to erosion due to a rainfall event, runoff flowing over the soil, wind blowing across that soil and vehicles driving on the soil. Consequently, it is important that all soil is covered, other than that which is undergoing active construction. Locations on a construction site that are more susceptible to erosion are:

1. Slopes
2. Highly erosive soils
3. Construction entrances
4. Water resource channels
5. Soil stockpiles

Site Perimeter – BMPs for regulating flow in and out of the site perimeter should be a priority. The following ideas should be considered:

1. Disturbed areas or slopes that drain toward adjacent properties, storm drain inlets or receiving waters, should be protected with temporary linear barriers (continuous berms, silt fences, sand bags, etc.) to reduce or prevent sediment discharge while construction in the area is active. In addition, the contractor should be prepared to stabilize those soils with EP measures prior to the onset of rain.

2. When grading has been completed, the areas should be protected with EP controls such as mulching, seeding, planting, or emulsifiers. The combination of EP measures and SC measures should remain in place until the area is permanently stabilized.

3. Significant offsite flows (especially concentrated flows) that drain onto disturbed areas or slopes should be controlled through use of continuous berms, earth dikes, drainage swales, and lined ditches that will allow for controlled passage or containment of flows.

4. Concentrated flows that are discharged off of the site should be controlled through outlet protection and velocity dissipation devices in order to prevent erosion of downstream areas.

5. Perimeter controls should be placed everywhere runoff enters or leaves the site. They are usually installed just before clearing, grubbing and rough grading begin. Perimeter controls for most sites become overloaded by both runoff and sediment. Additional controls within the interior of the construction site should supplement perimeter controls once rough grading is complete.

Internal Swales and Ditches – Until permanent facilities have been constructed, flows are directed toward internal swales, curbs, and ditches. Design and implementation criteria should include the following:

❖ Temporary stormwater facilities are susceptible to erosion from concentrated flows, and should be stabilized through temporary check dams, geotextile mats, and under extreme erosive conditions by lining with concrete.

❖ Long or steep slopes should be terraced at regular intervals (per local requirements) in order to slow the runoff, and to allow for small amounts of sediment to settle out.

❖ Slope benches may be constructed with either ditches along them or back-sloped at a gentle angle toward the hill. These benches and ditches intercept runoff before it can reach an erosive velocity and divert it to a stable outlet.

❖ A rough surface such as tall grass can be planted to reduce overland flow velocities.

Internal Erosion - After all erosion and sediment control BMPs have been utilized, excessive sediment should be removed from stormwater both within and along the perimeter of the project site. To prevent erosion, temporary barriers or traps should slow the velocity of sediment-laden water. This flow should then enter a pond where soil particles may settle. Appropriate strategies for implementing sedimentation controls include:

❖ Sediment-laden water should be directed to temporary sediment traps.
❖ Locate sediment basins and traps at low points below disturbed areas.
❖ Existing and proposed storm drainage structures should be protected from sediment clogging by implementation of inlet protection for area drains and curb inlets.
❖ Temporary sediment traps or ponds should be constructed at stormwater outfalls for the site.
❖ Stormwater detention ponds should be excavated early in the project so that they can serve as sedimentation ponds during construction. Remove accumulated sediment and landscape the ponds when the upstream drainage area is stabilized.
❖ Temporary sediment barriers such as:
  o Silt Fence
  o Baffles
  o Filter Ring
  o Filter Berm
  o Tubes and Wattles

Stormwater Inlets and Outfalls – All stormwater inlets, including drop inlets and pipe inlets, should be protected from sediment if the area draining to the inlet has been disturbed. This protection may include sand bags, sediment traps, or other similar devices. In addition, internal outfalls must be protected to reduce scour from high velocity flows leaving pipes or other drainage facilities.

BMPs for Temporary Construction Site Runoff Management Practices (TCPs) are covered in more detail in Section 3 of this manual.

2.2.4 Permanent Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control BMPs

Permanent BMPs are the final improvements to the configuration of the project. They are designed for long-term management of stormwater pollution after construction activities are complete. Example: Permanent BMPs include permanent vegetation, buffer zones, bioengineered stream bank stabilization and channel linings. There is no single BMP that will address all long-term stormwater quality problems. A multi-level strategy is required that incorporates source controls, a series of on-site treatment controls and community-wide treatment controls. This concept was presented earlier in Figure 2, BMP Selection Process. Permanent BMP selection should be considered in the early planning stages of development.

The BMP Fact Sheets for Permanent Erosion Prevention and Sediment Control (PESC) are provided in Section 4 of this manual.

2.2.5 Permanent Stormwater Quality Treatment Controls

Permanent Stormwater Quality Treatment Controls may include Green Infrastructure Practices such as bioretention, swales, detention ponds and a variety of other features as well as 80% Total Suspended Solid (TSS) Treatment Practices such as constructed wetlands, sand filters and filter strips. Licensed professional engineers are responsible for selection of these management practices. These practices should be included in the early planning stages of development. It is important to consider which treatment controls are most efficient and appropriate for the site. In addition, the long-term maintenance responsibilities should be identified in the plans and specifications to prevent future disputes. Typically, this responsibility is left to either the public or private owner of the property. The contractor and owner are responsible for properly constructing and maintaining the permanent controls.

Permanent Stormwater Quality Treatment Controls are implemented most effectively when they are tied in with the actual project design. They are typically selected during the planning phase, in conjunction with the approval of the tentative plan designed during the design phase of a project. When stormwater controls are considered as part of the design, they are conceptually planned and consequently, more effective. The following should be considered in the design process.

1. Is a detention/retention facility required for flood control? Often, facilities are required to maintain peak runoff at predevelopment levels to reduce downstream conveyance system damage and other costs associated with flooding. Most permanent BMPs can be incorporated into flood control detention/retention facilities with modest design refinements and limited increase in land area and cost. See Chapter 4 within this section for the recommended stormwater detention approach. However, municipalities within the Program Area may have specific detention policies.

2. Planned open spaces that have slopes less than 5% may be merged with stormwater quality/ quantity facilities. Such integrated, multi-use areas may achieve several objectives at a modest cost.

3. Infiltration BMPs may serve as groundwater recharge facilities, although soil conditions are critical to their success. Detention/retention areas may be created in landscaped areas of the project and vegetated swales/filters may be used as roadside/median or parking lot median vegetated areas.

The BMP Fact Sheets for Permanent Stormwater Treatment Controls (PTPs) including Green Infrastructure Practices and 80% TSS Treatment Practices are provided in Section 5 of this manual.

2.2.5.1 Effectiveness of Green Infrastructure Practices

GIPs are intended to mimic the natural hydrologic condition and allow stormwater to infiltrate into the ground, evapotranspirate into the air, or be captured for reuse. Typical GIPs include: downspout disconnection, sheet flow, infiltration practices, permeable pavement, rain barrels/cisterns, bioretention, reforestation, tree box filters, green roofs and assorted other practices.

These GIPs are designed to meet multiple stormwater management objectives, including reductions in runoff volume, peak flow rate reductions, and water quality protection. Multiple small, localized controls may be combined into a treatment train to provide comprehensive stormwater management. The GIPs listed in this section have been designed to be integrated into many common urban land uses on both public and private property, and may be constructed individually, or as part of larger construction projects. Decentralized management strategies are encouraged to be tailored to individual sites; which can eliminate the need for large-scale, capital-intensive centralized controls; and may improve the water quality in Hamilton County's water resources.

This Manual includes twelve of the most common GIPs, shown in summary Table 1 and Table 2. These tables are included to facilitate selection of the most appropriate practices for a given situation. Fact sheets are included in Section 5 for each practice and provide a brief introduction to the practice, details on performance, suitability, limitations, and maintenance requirements. In addition, each practice is assigned a percentage of volume control based on the particular GIP's ability to control volume from smaller storms and from the first flush of larger storms. Not only does reducing runoff volume decrease the amount of stormwater discharged to sewers, channels and streams, but it is also the most effective stormwater pollution control available.

Table 1 – Effectiveness of BMPs in Meeting Stormwater Management Objectives			
Practices	**Volume**	**Peak Discharge**	**Water Quality**
Bioretention	●	●	●
Urban Bioretention	◉	◉	●
Permeable Pavement	●	●	◉
Infiltration Trench	●	●	●
Water Quality Swales (Dry)	◉	◉	●
Extended Detention	○	●	○
Downspout Disconnection	◉	◉	◉
Grass Channels	○	○	○
Sheet Flow	●	●	◉
Reforestation	●	●	●
Rain Tanks/Cisterns*	◉	○	○
Green Roofs	◉	●	●

* A single cistern typically provides greater volume reduction than a single rain tank

Key: ● High effectiveness   ◉ Medium effectiveness   ○ Low effectiveness

Rankings are qualitative. "High effectiveness" means that one of the GIP's primary functions is to meet the objective. "Medium effectiveness" means that a GIP can partially meet the objective but should be used in conjunction with other BMPs. "Low effectiveness" means that the GIP's contribution to the objective is a byproduct of its other functions, and another decentralized control should be used if that objective is important.

Table 2 – Green Stormwater Infrastructure Land Use and Land Area Selection Matrix								
Practices	**Criteria**							
	Land Use							**Land Area Required**
	Schools	**Com.**	**Indust.**	**SF Res.**	**MF Res.**	**Parks/Open Space**	**Roads/ Roadside**	
Bioretention	●	●		●	●	●	●	◉
Urban Bioretention	◉	●			●	●	●	○
Permeable Pavement	●	●	◉	●	●	●	●	○
Infiltration Trench	●	●		●	●	●	◉	○
Water Quality Swales (Dry)	●	●			●		●	◉
Extended Detention	●	●	●		●		●	○
Downspout Disconnection	●	◉		●	●	●		○
Grass Channels	●	●		●	●	◉	●	◉
Sheet Flow	●	●		●	●	◉	●	◉
Reforestation	◉		◉	◉	◉	●	●	○/●
Rain Tanks/Cisterns	●	◉	◉	●	●			○
Green Roofs	●	●	●		●			○

● - Well suited for land use applications or high relative dedicated land area required.
◉ - Average suitability for land use applications or moderate relative dedicated land area required.
○ - Low relative dedicated land area required.
Blank – Not applicable for land use.

2.3 Integrated Site Design

The Program recommends a three-part Integrated Site Design (ISD) process in the consideration of stormwater management goals. The ISD process fits well into the general process of land development and serves to assist the designer in making maximum use of the natural features of the site to treat and handle stormwater runoff in a way that integrates such practices into the site layout. Best Management Practice layout planning is accomplished through the ISD process and includes impact reducing site design, integrated stormwater sizing criteria and inspections and maintenance of permanent stormwater practices.

2.3.1 Impact-Reducing Site Planning and Design Principles

The first step in the ISD process is to utilize impact-reducing site design principles for stormwater management. Impact-reducing site design includes a number of site design techniques such as preserving natural features and resources, effectively laying out the site elements to reduce impact to the watershed, reducing the amount of impervious surfaces and utilizing natural features on the site for stormwater management. The goal is to minimize impacts of development by reducing the amount of runoff and pollutants that are generated from a development or redevelopment site and provide for some nonstructural on-site treatment and control of runoff. Impact-reducing site design concepts can be viewed as both water quantity and water quality management tools that can reduce the size and cost of required structural stormwater controls — sometimes eliminating the need for them entirely — while maintaining or even increasing the value of the property. This site design approach can result in a more natural and cost-effective stormwater management system that better mimics the natural hydrologic conditions of the site, has lower maintenance burden and increases sustainability.

Step 1: Identify and Delineate Natural Features and Resources

The first step in the impact-reducing site design process is to identify and delineate the natural features and resources that can be used in the protection of water resources by reducing stormwater runoff, providing runoff storage, reducing flooding, preventing soil erosion, promoting infiltration and removing stormwater pollutants. The design engineer should collect and review information on the existing site conditions and delineate existing site features such as:

- ❖ Areas of undisturbed vegetation
- ❖ Floodplains and riparian areas
- ❖ Ridge tops and steep slopes
- ❖ Natural drainage pathways
- ❖ Water resource channels and streams
- ❖ Aquifers and recharge areas
- ❖ Wetlands
- ❖ Soil types
- ❖ Other natural features or critical areas
- ❖ Other features that should be identified in this step are adjacent areas, existing developed areas and existing stormwater facilities and infrastructure.

Step 2: Conserve Natural Features and Resources

The conservation of natural features such as floodplains, soils and vegetation helps to retain predevelopment hydrology functions, thus reducing runoff volumes. Impacts to natural features should be minimized by reducing the extent of construction and development practices that adversely impact predevelopment hydrology functions. This includes:

- ❖ Building upon the least porous soils or limiting construction activities to previously disturbed soils
- ❖ Avoiding mass clearing and grading, and limiting the clearing and grading of land to the minimum needed to construct the development and associated infrastructure
- ❖ Avoiding disturbance of vegetation and soil on slopes and near surface waters

❖ Leaving undisturbed riparian buffers along both sides of the water resource, as defined by the Program.
❖ Preserving sensitive environmental areas, historically undisturbed vegetation and native trees
❖ Conforming to watershed, conservation and open space plans
❖ Designing development to fit the site terrain and building roadways parallel to contour lines wherever possible
❖ Clustering development to preserve porous soils, natural streams, natural channels and natural slopes
❖ Avoiding floodplains

Step 3: Manage Stormwater Close to the Source

Redirecting runoff back into the ground, close to the point of origin, provides both environmental and economic benefits. Traditional stormwater systems, which collect and convey stormwater, generally increase flows and are likely to suffer failures over time. Techniques include:

❖ Use GIPs to infiltrate stormwater into the ground instead of concentrating the flow and routing it offsite
❖ Disconnect impervious surfaces wherever feasible

Step 4: Design to Reduce Runoff Impacts

After conservation areas have been delineated, there are additional opportunities in the preliminary stages of a site design for avoiding downstream stormwater impacts from the development. These primarily deal with the location and configuration of lots or structures on the site and include the following recommendations and options:

❖ Fit the design to the terrain
❖ Reduce the limits of clearing and grading (i.e., limit clearing and grading areas to what is absolutely necessary)
❖ Locate development in less sensitive areas (e.g., outside of wetlands)
❖ Utilize open space
❖ Use nontraditional lot designs for residential areas (think outside the box)
❖ Consider creative development design
❖ Preserve riparian buffers and undisturbed areas
❖ Use natural drainage paths instead of storm sewer infrastructure
❖ Use vegetated swales instead of curb and gutter
❖ Drain runoff to pervious areas

Step 5: Reduce and Disconnect Impervious Cover

Reducing and disconnecting impervious surfaces increases the rainfall that infiltrates into the ground. Impervious areas should be reduced by maximizing landscaping and using pervious pavements. In addition, the amount of impervious areas hydraulically connected to impervious conveyances (e.g., driveways, walkways, culverts, streets or storm drains) should be reduced as much as possible.

Examples include:

- ❖ Installing green roofs
- ❖ Directing roof downspouts to vegetated areas, bioretention, cisterns, or planter boxes, and routing runoff into vegetated swales instead of gutters
- ❖ Using porous pavements where permitted
- ❖ Installing shared driveways that connect two or more homes or installing residential driveways with center vegetated strips
- ❖ Allowing for shared parking in commercial areas
- ❖ Encouraging building developers to increase their number of floors instead of their building's footprint

Step 6: Minimize Soil Compaction

Soil compaction disturbs native soil structure, reduces infiltration rates, and limits root growth and plant survivability. When protected, local soils can have a significant infiltration capacity, and can help meet design requirements. While soil compaction is necessary to provide structurally sound foundations, areas away from foundations are often excessively compacted by vehicle and foot traffic during construction. Minimizing soil compaction can be achieved by:

- ❖ Reducing disturbance through design and construction practices
- ❖ Limiting areas of access for heavy equipment
- ❖ Avoiding extensive and unnecessary clearing and stockpiling of topsoil
- ❖ Maintaining existing topsoil and/or using quality topsoil during construction

2.3.2 Integrated Stormwater Sizing Criteria

The second step in the ISD process is to utilize an integrated sizing approach for runoff **quantity** and **quality** design. These criteria allow the site engineer to calculate the stormwater control volumes required for water quality and flood protection. The purpose is to provide a framework for designing (sizing) a stormwater management system to:

- ❖ Reduce the volume of stormwater runoff and remove runoff pollutants to improve water quality;
- ❖ Reduce downstream overbank flooding; and
- ❖ Safely pass or reduce the runoff from extreme storm events.

Figure 3 – Representation of the Integrated Stormwater Sizing Criteria

The Integrated Stormwater Sizing Criteria is an integrated set of criteria and recommendations that allow the site engineer to size and design structural stormwater controls to address the above objectives. The criteria is summarized in Table 3

Table 3 – Summary of Sizing Criteria for Stormwater Control and Mitigation		
Sizing Criteria		**Description**
Water Quantity	**Water Quality**	Infiltrate, evapotranspire, harvest and/or use, at a minimum, the first inch of every rainfall event preceded by 72 hours of no measurable precipitation. For projects that cannot meet 100% of the runoff reduction requirement, the remainder of the stipulated amount of rainfall must be treated prior to discharge with a technology expected to remove 80% total suspended solids (TSS).
	Overbank Flood Protection	Provide peak discharge control of the 2, 10, 25, and 50-year storm event on the stormwater management system, adjacent property, and downstream facilities and property to reduce overbank flooding through detention.
	Extreme Flood Protection	Evaluate the effects of the 100-year storm on the stormwater management system, adjacent property, and downstream facilities and property. Manage the impacts of the extreme storm event through detention controls and/or floodplain management.

Each of the integrated stormwater sizing criteria is recommended to be used with one another to address the overall stormwater impacts from a development site. When used as a comprehensive set, the integrated criteria control the entire range of hydrologic events, from the smallest runoff-producing rainfalls to the 100-year storm. Figure 3 graphically illustrates the relative volume requirements of each of the integrated stormwater sizing criteria and demonstrates that the criteria is generally "nested" within one another (i.e., the extreme flood protection volume requirement also contains the overbank flood protection volume and the water quality treatment volume). This nesting provides efficiency when constructing and maintaining stormwater controls.

The integrated stormwater sizing criteria is described in more detail below.

2.3.2.1 Water Quality

Hydrologic studies show that small-sized, frequently occurring storms account for the majority of rainfall events that generate stormwater runoff. Consequently, the runoff from these storms also accounts for a large portion of the annual pollutant loadings. Therefore, by treating these frequently occurring smaller rainfall events and a portion of the stormwater runoff from larger events, it is possible to effectively mitigate the water quality impacts from a developed area whether located in the headwater reaches of the watershed or close in proximity to a 4th order stream.

The Runoff Reduction Method (RRM) can achieve both volume control and pollutant removal stormwater management goals. For projects that cannot meet 100% of the runoff reduction requirements, the remainder of the stipulated amount of rainfall must be treated prior to discharge with a technology expected to remove 80% TSS in order to meet water quality requirements. This combined approach allows the designer to apply RRM design principles along with 80% TSS design principles to meet the compliance standard.

Chapter 3.1 of this manual provides technical details regarding RRM. Chapter 3.2 provides technical details regarding the RRM and 80% TSS hardship combined methodology.

The Program reserves the right to require other treatment goals for other pollutants of concern based on other regulatory requirements or needs (e.g. Total Maximum Daily Load studies).

2.3.2.2 Overbank Flood Protection (Qp)

An increase in impervious areas by development increases the potential for downstream flooding. The purpose of overbank flood protection is to prevent an increase in the frequency and magnitude of damaging out-of-bank flooding (i.e. flow events that exceed the capacity of the channel and enter the floodplain). It is intended to protect downstream properties from flooding at middle-frequency storm events. The Overbank Flood Protection criterion specifies that the post-development 2, 10, 25, and 50-year, 24-hour storm peak discharge rate (denoted Qp) not exceed the pre- development (or undisturbed natural conditions) discharge rate. The technical details for Overbank Flood Protection are provided in Chapter 4, Post Construction Water Quantity.

2.3.2.3 Extreme Flood Protection (Qf)

The intent of the extreme flood protection is to prevent flood damage from infrequent but large storm events, maintain the boundaries of the mapped 100-year floodplain and protect the physical integrity of the structural stormwater controls as well as downstream stormwater and flood control facilities. The Extreme Flood Protection criterion specifies that all stormwater management facilities be designed to control runoff for the 100-year, 24 hour storm (denoted Qf) so that the rate at which flow is released over the entire runoff discharge period is equal to or less than predevelopment flows. The technical details for Extreme Flood Protection are provided in Chapter 4, Post Construction Water Quantity.

2.3.3 Inspection and Maintenance

The third and final step in the ISD process is to provide for inspection and maintenance (I&M) oversight of the GIPs. Without proper care and maintenance, the GIP's ability to perform its design function is reduced and it is no longer in compliance with Program regulations.

A Stormwater Management Facilities I&M Agreement is required to be submitted with all Land Disturbing Permit Applications. If final configuration of the stormwater system changes from the design, the I&M Agreement must be updated to reflect changes. As part of the I&M Agreement, a Long Term BMP Maintenance Plan must be developed by the design professional engineer. This plan includes a description of the stormwater system, the required inspections, the inspection schedule, and how the inspections should be documented. A report must be submitted to the Program by July 1 of each year, including documentation of the inspection and maintenance activities performed.

Additional I&M guidance for Green Infrastructure controls can be found within each GIP design specification located in Section 5.

Chapter 3

3 Permanent Stormwater Practices – Water Quality

3.1 The Runoff Reduction Method

3.1.1 Introduction

3.1.1.1 Background

The Runoff Reduction Method (RRM) will serve as the basis for Hamilton County Water Quality Program's approach to Green Infrastructure (GI) requirements. The basic RRM derivation can be found in original References 11 and 12. The RRM has been slightly modified and localized for the southeast Tennessee region.

In the past, the Program's pollution reduction approach focused mainly on engineered controls to reduce stormwater pollution as runoff flowed through structural controls, and required that said controls meet an 80% removal efficiency of total suspended solids. Open space land use was of only minor importance. Under the RRM, every land surface will now have an assigned rating in terms of volume of rainfall capture. For example, if open space can infiltrate a significant rainfall event, and it can be credited with 100% TSS removal for all the volume it infiltrates, then the open space itself becomes an effective control. Even impervious surfaces may capture a small amount of water and therefore may not generate 100% runoff.

Volume removal is the focus of this approach; and volume reduction correlates to pollution reduction. Thus, understanding and calculating every aspect of a site's land condition in relation to volume removal is important.

3.1.1.2 Objectives

The basis for the RRM is a rainfall volume capture goal. The Hamilton County Water Quality Program's site design standards require, in combination or alone, management measures that are designed, installed and maintained to infiltrate, evapotranspirate, harvest and/or use, on average, the first inch of every rainfall event preceded by 72 hours of no measurable precipitation. The RRM was designed to fulfill several complimentary objectives:

- Meet the one-inch capture requirement under the NPDES MS4 Permit;

- Reflect local hydrologic and land conditions;

- Encourage and incentivize the use of natural solutions;

- Be consistent with the mandated TSS pollutant capture goal in the final result; and

- Provide an approach that is simple and effective for a range of development projects

It was found that these objectives could largely be met through the use of a single overarching design standard, backed by specific volume-capture standards for structural controls and rainfall intensity scaled runoff coefficients for other land uses. To be eligible for approval of a site design under this approach the designer must lay out the site such that the total rainfall for a one-inch event of moderate intensity is captured

and treated on site through a combination of infiltration, evapotranspiration, harvest and/or use. This objective is accomplished through site layout and GIP design.

The first step in determining if the standard is met is to determine the volumetric runoff coefficient, Rv, which is the percentage of fallen precipitation that runs off of a specific land use area (See Equation 1). Rv within this method reflects a site's post-development runoff volume for storms in the one-inch or larger range. Based on national studies and standards, and supported by local rainfall-runoff analysis for southeast Tennessee soils, it was found that an Rv value of 0.20 generally indicates the capture of the first one-inch of rainfall. Storms larger than one inch may cause runoff.

Each land use is assigned an Rv value. Once Rv values have been developed, they must be weighted for the respective areas. If the weighted Rv for the whole site is 0.20 or less, then the standard has been met. If the Rv standard has not been met, then GIPs consisting of intrinsic designs and structural controls devised to capture the remaining required volume are added to the design. These effectively modify the Rv value for contributing drainage areas to that intrinsic design or control. These are shown in Tables 4 and 5 below.

In summary, in meeting this standard the designer will have carefully considered the effective use of: (1) land cover that reduces runoff; (2) more intrinsic site design GIPs that further reduce runoff; and (3) structural GIPs that capture the remaining volume required to meet the compliance standard. In each step the Rv values and supporting design specifications have been carefully crafted to effectively meet compliance standards while retaining focus on natural approaches. In every case, values have been localized through the balanced use of the most recent data sources and continuous simulation modeling of local conditions.

3.1.1.3 Conceptual Steps in the Runoff Reduction Method

The RRM follows the steps shown below:

Step 1: Reduce Runoff through Land Use and Ground Cover Decisions
This step focuses on the "background" land cover and how much rainfall it removes from runoff. Design activities in Step 1 focus on impervious area minimization, reduced soil disturbance, forest preservation, etc. The goal is to minimize impervious cover and mass site grading and to maximize the retention of forest and vegetative cover, natural areas and undisturbed soils, especially those most conducive to landscape-scale infiltration. Calculations for the RRM for Step 1 include the computation of volumetric runoff coefficients (Rv) for land use and Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) combinations (including impervious cover). Site cover runoff coefficients are shown in Table 4.

Step 2: Apply Environmental Site Design Practices (Non-Structural GIPs)

If the target volume capture (Rv ≤ 0.20) has not been attained in Step 1 then Step 2 is required. This step focuses on implementing the more intrinsic GIPs during the early phases of site layout. In this step the designer enhances the ability of the background land cover to reduce runoff volume through the planned and engineered use of such practices as downspout disconnection, sheet flow, grass channel, and planned reforestation. Each of these practices is assigned a value based on the ability to reduce one-inch of rainfall in a storm of moderate intensity; this assignment is captured in the Runoff Removal Credit or the RR Credit. RR Credit values for non-structural GIPs are shown in Table 5.

Step 3: Apply Structural GIPs

If the target one-inch capture volume (Rv ≤ 0.20) has not been attained, Step 3 is required. In this step, the designer experiments with combinations of more structural GIPs on the site. In each case, the designer estimates the area to be treated by each GIP to incrementally meet the overall runoff reduction requirement. Such engineered practices as infiltration trenches, bioretention, green roofs, permeable pavement, rainwater harvesting, etc. are envisioned. Design and sizing standards have been created for each of these GIPs to insure their ability to meet the one-inch volume capture still required after Steps 1 and 2 have been implemented. RR Credit values for structural GIPs are also shown in Table 5.

The guidance for the effectiveness of the various GIPs is expressed in terms of percent volume reduction (Runoff Reduction Credit). At the end of Step 3, the designer must have achieved the required one-inch volume capture – accomplished by attaining an area weighted Rv value of 0.20 or less. The following describes how to calculate Rv and associated variables.

3.1.2 Technical Details

3.1.2.1 Step 1: Land use Rv Values

The volumetric runoff coefficient (Rv) is the ratio of the runoff divided by the target rainfall. If 45% of the rainfall for a range of storms in the one-inch range and larger is discharged from the site, the Rv value equals 0.45. Unlike a Rational Method C Factor, for example, Rv is not a constant individual storm-based value but is rainfall intensity and total depth dependent. Rv values could be developed for individual storms, seasons, or even on an annual basis. Table 4 shows the Rv values that are applicable for the Program in order to estimate runoff from larger storms of moderate intensity meeting the one-inch and greater standard.

Table 4 - Site Cover Runoff Coefficients				
Soil Condition	Volumetric Runoff Coefficient (R_V)			
IMPERVIOUS COVER	0.95			
HYDROLOGIC SOIL GROUP	A	B	C	D
FOREST COVER	0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05
TURF	0.15	0.18	0.20	0.23

These values serve as the basis for Step 1 in application of the RRM. The development of an area-weighted estimate of the total site Rv value using site land uses is shown below in Equation 1.

$$\text{Weighted Rv} \ = \ \frac{[(\text{Rv}_1 \times A_1) + (\text{Rv}_2 \times A_2) + \cdots]}{(A_1 + A_2 + \cdots)}$$

Equation 1

STEP 1 EXAMPLE

As shown in Figure 4 below, if we have a 10 acre site and 50% of the site was impervious, 20% forest, and 30% turf grass all over B Soils the Rv value would be:

Site Weighted Rv = [(5.0*0.95) + (2.0* 0.03) + (3.0*0.18)]/10 = 0.54

That is, 54% of the rainfall for the larger design storms on the site runs off. This step does not consider the flow path of the runoff but simply the land use. The standard is the capture of the first inch and an Rv of 0.20 or less so additional GIPs must be planned and implemented.


A = 50%
Parking Lot
Rv = 0.95

A = 20%
←Forest
Rv = 0.03

A = 30%
←Turf Grass
Rv = 0.18

Figure 4 - Site Example with Land Uses

3.1.2.2 Steps 2 and 3: Green Infrastructure Practice Rv Values

Steps 2 and 3 of the RRM involve the planning and design of Green Infrastructure Practices (both intrinsic and structural) to reduce the total site Rv to 0.20 or less. Table 5 lists the acceptable GIPs and the assigned RR Credits for each, which corresponds with the values listed in each GIP specification. The two levels refer to specific design requirements contained in the specification sheets within Section 5 of this manual.

Table 5 – Green Infrastructure Practices Runoff Reduction Credit Percentages								
Green Infrastructure Practice	**% Rainfall Volume Removed/Captured – RR Credit**							
	Level 1**				**Level 2****			
1. Bioretention	60				80			
2. Urban Bioretention	60				N/A			
3. Permeable Pavement	45				75			
4. Infiltration Trench	50				90			
5. Water Quality Swale	40				60			
6. Extended Detention	15				N/A			
7. Downspout Disconnection*	25				50			
8. Grass Channel	10/20				20/30			
9. Sheet Flow *	50				75			
10. Reforestation (A, B, C, D soils)	96	94	92	90	98	97	96	95
11. Rain Tanks/Cisterns	Design dependent							
12. Green Roof	80				90			

*See GIP for additional RR credits.

**See GIP for additional information of the distinction between Level 1 and Level 2 design.

Note that the first six GIPs themselves occupy site land area. Because of their ability to absorb the rain that falls on them they are assigned the corresponding <u>Forest Cover</u> Rv values from Table 4. Other GIPs, where applicable, are assigned the <u>Turf</u> land cover Rv values from Table 4. Use of these values is <u>optional</u> and can be ignored for the first six GIPs if their area is less than ten percent of the total site area.

To calculate the Rv value for a contributing drainage area (CDA) flowing through a GIP, use Equation 2 shown below.

$$\text{GIP Rv} = \text{CDA Rv}(1 - \text{RR Credit}) \qquad \textbf{Equation 2}$$

GIP Rv equals the CDA volumetric runoff coefficient as treated by the GIPs. CDA Rv is the weighted Rv value for the drainage area flowing to the GIPs. It should be weighted, using Equation 1, if the drainage area has multiple land uses. If the drainage area contains only one land use, then the CDA Rv value is the Rv for that single land use.

EXAMPLES

If part of the current site is impervious and has an Rv value of 0.95, it can be sent through a bioretention structure with Level 2 design (80% RR Credit) and the following reduction calculation would result:

$$\text{GIP Rv} = 0.95 *(1-0.80) = 0.19$$

Thus, the bioretention facility meeting the Level 2 design criteria (see Table 5) would cause that impervious area to meet the standard of an Rv of 0.20 or less.

Level 1 Reforestation of a C soil would result in that land area changing from an Rv of 0.2 (See Table 5) to:

$$\text{GIP Rv} = 0.20 *(1-0.92) = 0.02$$

3.1.2.3 Special Case: Rv Values for Controls in Series

The calculation of the volume removal rate for controls in series can be complex and specific GIP dependent. The upstream control has the benefit of initially handling runoff from the many small storms while the second control in series must handle the overflow from the first – a set of fewer and larger storms. Therefore, the ability to capture instantaneous volumes and store them for later removal is vital for the downstream controls. In addition to cisterns, only the first six controls in Table 5 can be used as the second GIP in a series volume removal calculation: bioretention, urban bioretention, permeable pavement, infiltration trench, water quality swale, and extended detention.

The following equation shall be used for calculation of the total Rv factor for GIPs in series:

$$\text{GIP Rv}_{\text{SERIES}} = \text{CDA Rv}(1 - RR_1 \text{ Credit})(1-RR_2 \text{ Credit}) \qquad \textbf{Equation 3}$$

Where CDA Rv is the Combined Drainage Area volumetric runoff coefficient of the land cover flowing into the first GIP in the series (e.g. CDA Rv = 0.95 for impervious area). RR_1 Credit is the percent volume reduction credit for the first GIP in the series from Table 5 and RR_2 is the percent volume reduction credit for the second (e.g. downstream) GIP in the series from Table 5. Credit will be granted for no more than two controls used in series.


Figure 5 – Site Example for GIP RV$_{\text{SERIES}}$

SERIES EXAMPLE

A 0.5 acre impervious area (IA) (Rv=0.95) is disconnected through a 0.25 acre C soil sheet flow area (Rv=0.20) and then enters a 0.06 acre Level 2 bioretention facility (Rv=0.04). See Figure 5 above for the example schematic. The following calculation gives the Rv for that impervious area (note the grassy area also has its own Rv value, and calculation (1) is only for the impervious area). Sheet flow is Level 1 (RR Credit 50%) while bioretention design is Level 2 (RR Credit 80%). Calculate the Rv for each of the three parts of the site – only the impervious area is demonstrating GIPs in series:

    (1)   IA through GIP Rv $_{SERIES}$ = 0.95*(1-0.50)(1-0.80) = 0.10

    (2)   Sheet Flow GIP Rv = 0.20*(1-0.80) = 0.04

    (3)   Bioretention Rv (optional - Forest in C Soil) = 0.04

Site Rv for criteria attainment using Equation 1 is:

    Rv $_{FINAL}$ = (0.50*0.10 + 0.25* 0.04 + 0.06*0.04) / (0.50+0.25+0.06) = 0.08

This equation says that 95% of the rainfall runs off the impervious area and enters the sheet flow area. 50% of that flow is captured in the sheet flow area. The remainder enters the bioretention facility (the largest storms) and 80% of that is captured by that GIP designed as a Level 2 facility allowing about 10% to overflow the facility in the design situation.

The Rv value for the whole site is 0.08, well ahead of the design requirement. Use of the bioretention area in the calculation is optional since its surface area is less than ten percent of the total site area.

3.1.2.4   Sizing of Media-Based GIPs

Standard practice in the sizing of media-based GIPs (bioretention, urban bioretention, permeable pavement, infiltration trenches and water quality swales) has been to assume that the runoff from a one-inch storm is instantaneously contained within the control, and that the control is completely dry prior to this. Through hourly rainfall simulation modeling using area rainfall, these offsetting assumptions, one conservative and one non-conservative, have been found to result in a design that approximates an 80% removal of runoff volume (Rv = 0.20) for all native soil infiltration rates. Underdrains are required for parent material infiltration rates less than or equal to 0.5 in/hr. As such the following guidance is provided for sizing these types of facilities. Details for each type are provided within Section 5 of this manual. Details for sizing cisterns are also located within Section 5.

Table 6 provides basic volume-based specifications for the standard recommended soil-based media and gravel. Soil-based media is used for GIPs: bioretention, water quality swales and urban bioretention. Gravel is used for design alternatives for the above listed GIPs, as well as, the storage layers for permeable pavement and infiltration trenches.

Average porosity of non-compacted soil and gravel is assumed to be 0.40. Field capacity of the soil is the amount of moisture typically held in the soil/gravel after any excess water from rain events has drained and varies greatly between soil-based media and gravel.

Table 6 – Media Volume-Based Specifications		
Parameter	**Value**	
	Porosity	Field Capacity
Soil-Based Media[1]	0.40	0.25
Gravel[2]	0.40	0.04
Ponding	1.0	NA

1. Soil-Based Media GIPs - bioretention, water quality swales and tree planter boxes
2. Gravel GIPs – design alternatives for GIPs in 1, storage layers for permeable pavement and infiltration trenches

All media-based GIPs shall be sized to provide storage volume for the complete runoff from one inch of rain over the contributing drainage area (CDA). Thus all media storage GIPs shall be sized using the following equations:

$$Tv = P(CDA)(Rv)\left(\frac{43{,}560 \text{ ft}^2}{1 \text{ ac}}\right)\left(\frac{1 \text{ ft}}{12 \text{ in}}\right) = n(D)(SA)$$
Equation 4

where:

Tv	=	GIP treatment volume in cubic feet
CDA	=	the drainage area in acres
P	=	1 inch
Rv	=	runoff coefficient for the CDA
SA	=	surface area in square feet of the GIP
D	=	media depth of GIP in feet
n	=	Porosity
(D)(n)	=	D_E if more than one media type is required

To find the equivalent storage depth for media-based GIPs with multiple layers of media, the equivalent storage depth must be calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{Equivalent Storage Depth} = D_E = n_1(D_1) + n_2(D_2) + \cdots$$
Equation 5

Where, n1 and D1 are for the first layer, etc.

Note that the Rv value is for the total area draining to the control. So if a filter strip is included in the area, then a weighted Rv should be calculated, but not a credit reduced Rv.

EXAMPLE

Using the previous example, 0.5 acres of impervious area and 0.25 acres of grass enter the bioretention area. First calculate the volume design Rv for the CDA:

$$CDA\ Rv = (Rv_1*A_1 + Rv_2*A_2)/(A_1+A_2) = (0.95*0.50+0.20*0.25)/(0.50+0.25) = 0.70$$

The bioretention pond is Level 2 and thus will have 1.25*Tv for the volume, media depth of 36 inches and a maximum of 6 inches of ponding. The Equivalent Depth = (3 ft.)(0.4) + (0.5 ft.)(1.0) = 1.7 ft. Then by application of Equations 4 and 5, solving for SA:

$$Tv = 1.25*1"*0.75*0.70*43,560/12 = 2,382 \text{ cubic feet} = SA*D_E = (SA)(1.70 \text{ ft.})$$
$$SA\ of\ GIP = 1,401 \text{ square feet}$$

3.1.2.5 Calculation of Curve Numbers with Volume Removed

The removal of volume by GIPs changes the runoff depth entering downstream stormwater quantity structures. An approximate approach to accounting for this in reducing the size of peak flow detention facilities is to calculate an "effective SCS curve number" (CNadj) which is less than the actual curve number (CN). CNadj can then be used in hydrologic calculations and in routing. The method can also be used for other hydrologic methods in which a reduction in runoff volume is possible.

Equation 6 provides a way to calculate a total runoff if the rainfall and curve number are known.

$$Q = \frac{(P - 0.2 \times S)^2}{(P + 0.8 \times S)} \quad and \quad S = \frac{1000}{CN} - 10 \qquad \text{**Equation 6**}$$

Equation 6 is the standard SCS rainfall-runoff equation where P is the inches of rainfall for the 24-hour design storm (Table 7), and Q is the total runoff in depth for that storm in inches.

Table 7 – Hamilton County 24-Hour Rainfall Depths	
Return Period (Years)	**Rainfall Depth (Inches)**
2	3.68
5	4.48
10	5.12
25	5.98
50	6.67
100	7.38

The adjusted total runoff in depth entering the flood control facility downstream of a GIP is calculated by taking the difference in the original total runoff in depth and the depth captured by the GIP (Tv from equation 4) expressed in watershed inches using Equation 7 where CDA is the drainage area in acres for the subarea in question.

$$Q_{adj} = Q - \frac{12*Tv}{43,560*CDA} \qquad \text{**Equation 7**}$$

Equation 8 provides a method to calculate the modified curve number once the Qadj is found.

$$CN_{adj} = \frac{1000}{10+5P+10Q_{adj}-10\left(Q_{adj}{}^2+1.25Q_{adj}P\right)^{1/2}}$$
Equation 8

The steps in calculating an adjusted Curve Number (CNadj) are:

Step 1. Calculate Total Runoff for Storm (Q)

Choose the design return period, and using that rainfall as P, calculate an initial Q using Equation 6 and the calculated site curve number.

Step 2. Calculate GIP Capture Volume (Tv)

Compute the captured volume in the GIP control using Equation 4 or proven cistern volume assuming a 72 hour inter-event dry period since the last cistern filling event.

Step 3. Calculate Adjusted Total Runoff (Qadj)

As shown in Equation 7, subtract Tv expressed in watershed inches from Q computed in Step 1.

Step 4. Calculate Adjusted Curve Number (CNadj)

Using Qadj and the P corresponding to the return period in question (the P from step 1), calculate the adjusted CN using Equation 8.

Step 5. Use CNadj in routing calculations for the specific return period in question.

<u>EXAMPLE</u>

A 1.5 acre parking lot is to drain into a larger site detention pond for the 2-year through 100-year storm. We wish to determine the curve number taking into account a bioretention basin at the downstream end of the parking lot and therefore need to calculate a modified curve number for the parking lot. The developed curve number is 98 for the parking lot.

Step 1. Using Equation 6 with a P = 7.53, the calculated Q = 7.30 inches.

$$Q = 7.30 = \frac{(7.53 - 0.2 * 0.20)^2}{(7.53 + 0.8 * 0.20)} \quad \text{and} \quad S = 0.20 = \frac{1000}{98} - 10$$

Step 2. We find Tv through sizing a Level 1 bioretention facility:

$$Tv = 1.5 * 0.95 * \frac{43,560}{12} = 5,173 \text{ft}^3$$

Step 3. The depth, in inches, removed over 1.5 acres is:

$$Q_{removed} = 0.95 \text{ in} = \frac{(5173 \text{ft}^3)(12)}{43,560(1.5\text{ac})}$$

Step 3 (cont.) Qadj is:

$$Q_{adj} = 6.35 \text{ in} = 7.30 - 0.95$$

Step 4. Using Qadj and the 100-year P in Equation 8, we obtain the adjusted curve number of 90. We can check our work by substituting this CN back into Equation 6 to obtain the Q of Step 3.

$$CN_{adj} = 90 = \frac{1000}{10 + 5(7.53\text{in}) + 10(6.35\text{in}) - 10[(6.35\text{in})^2 + 1.25(6.35\text{in})(7.53\text{in})]^{1/2}}$$

3.2 The Runoff Reduction Method and 80% TSS Alternative Combined Methodology

3.2.1 Introduction and Conceptual Steps in the Combined Methodology

The combined methodology has been developed to address the requirement in Tennessee's Small MS4 General NPDES Permit that states "For projects that cannot meet 100% of the runoff reduction requirement…, the remainder of the stipulated amount of rainfall must be treated prior to discharge with a technology reasonably expected to remove 80% total suspended solids (TSS)." If Runoff Reduction techniques are not acceptable for use on the site as a whole or on portions of a contributing drainage area, the MS4 permit requires the remaining rainfall to be treated by practices that remove 80% TSS. This approach allows the designer to apply TSS Reduction Method (TRM) treatment applications to supplement the RRM in order to meet the compliance standard. As a note, the site volumetric runoff coefficient, Rv, is calculated using the RRM methodology in Chapter 3.1. The method presented herein is an attempt to bridge the volume requirement (1in.) and the treatment efficiency requirement of the alternative approach (80% TSS Reduction). The mandated 80% TSS reduction (20% pollution runoff) is considered essentially equivalent to the pollution runoff component of the RRM which also allows a 20% volume (pollution) runoff. Thus any area for which the alternative method is used and an 80% TSS reduction is achieved is granted an Rv of 0.2. The water quality volume calculation utilizes a 1.1 multiplier when TSS practices are employed due to the lower pollution removal capabilities of such flow through devices.

The flowchart below will aid the designer in complying with the water quality standard when the use of Runoff Reduction GIPs is limited and TSS Reduction PTPs are necessitated.

3.2.1.1   Site Limiting Conditions

Runoff Reduction Methodology (RRM) is the ideal pathway in complying with the Program's water quality criteria. Therefore an attempt to utilize green infrastructure practices, promoting infiltration, capture and reuse of the first inch of rainfall preceded by 72 hours of no measureable rainfall is required to the maximum extent practicable. If a site can be designed using RRM GIPs, the designer is to follow the prescribed methodology in Chapter 3.1 of this manual. However, if "site limiting" conditions exist where infiltration practices would negatively affect the landscape and water resources in the area, the TRM methodology may be used to meet the Program's water quality criteria upon the Program Manager's approval.

Potential site limiting conditions are listed below:

- Where the potential for introducing pollutants into groundwater exists, unless pretreatment is provided;
- Where pre-existing soil contamination is present in areas subject to come in contact with infiltrated runoff;
- Where sinkholes or other karst features are present on the site;
- Where the site has a historic or archaeological significance that cannot be disturbed as determined by the State Historic Preservation Office;
- Where utility conflicts preclude the use of Green Infrastructure Practices;
- Where steep slopes are present and slope failure may occur (stamped geotechnical report must be presented to the Program); and
- Other site limitations as determined by the Program Manager.

Cost of implementing green infrastructure/runoff reduction measures shall not be considered a limitation.

3.2.2 Combined Methodology

3.2.2.1   TSS Reduction Method

In the following text the design process will be outlined for a site that GIPs cannot be fully implemented due to site limiting conditions mentioned above.

Step 1: Calculate the site Rv

Step 1 in the combined methodology is to calculate the site area weighted Rv. This calculation is the basis of the RRM methodology and utilizes Equation 1 from Chapter 3.1.

$$\text{Weighted Rv} = \frac{[(\text{Rv}_1 \times \text{A}_1) + (\text{Rv}_2 \times \text{A}_2) + \cdots]}{(\text{A}_1 + \text{A}_2 + \cdots)} \qquad \textbf{Equation 1}$$

If the area weighted site Rv is less than 0.2, then the design can be completed at this point and the water quality requirement has been met in utilizing the natural features of the site. If the site area is limited according to the

conditions listed above in Chapter 3.2.1.1 and the area weighted site Rv is greater than 0.2, then proceed to use steps 2 and 3 below to calculate the water quality treatment volume required.

Step 2: Reduce Site Rv

Step 2 involves reducing the site Rv using the percent reduction credits in Table 8 and Equation 9 to achieve the standard of 0.2 Rv. Table 8 is utilized in the same manner as Table 5 in the RRM in Chapter 3.1. The Rv of the contributing drainage area will be reduced by the amount of the TRM Credit listed in Table 8 and the area weighted site Rv will be recomputed (Equation 1). This process will be repeated until the site Rv is 0.2 or less.

$$\text{TRM Rv} = \text{CDA Rv}(1 - \text{TRM Credit}) \qquad \textbf{Equation 9}$$

Step 3: Calculate Water Quality Treatment Volume

After the Rv of the site has met the standard of 0.2 Rv, the design water quality treatment volume can be computed for each contributing drainage area using Equation 10.

$$WQv = \frac{1.1 \times P \times R_V \times A}{12 \frac{in}{ft}} \times 43,560 \frac{ft^2}{ac} \qquad \textbf{Equation 10}$$

Where:

WQv	=	Volume required to treat by TSS PTPs
P	=	Rainfall Depth in Inches (1.0)
1.1	=	TRM Multiplier
Rv	=	Contributing Drainage Area Rv
A	=	Contributing Drainage Area in Acres

Note: A multiplier (1.1) is applied to account the runoff generated from the 85th percentile storm event (i.e., the storm event depth that is greater than 85% of the storm depths that are expected to occur within any one year). The 85th percentile volume was considered the point of optimization between pollutant removal ability and cost-effectiveness. In other words, capturing and treating a larger percentage of the annual stormwater runoff would provide only a small increase in additional pollutant removal, but would considerably increase the required size (and cost) of the structural stormwater controls. Based on a rainfall analysis for southeast Tennessee, this depth is 1.1 inches and is applied in multiplier format.

3.2.2.2   Combined Runoff Reduction and TSS Reduction

The following steps will take the designer through the special case where Runoff Reduction GIPs and TSS PTP will be used to treat a single contributing drainage area.

Step 1: Calculate the site Rv

In Step 1 the designer will calculate the area weighted site Rv as in is Chapter 3.2.2.1 Step 1. If the site Rv is greater than 0.2, the user will move to Step 2 to reduce the area weighted Rv by RRM GIPs.

Step 2: Reduce Site Rv

Step 2 involves reducing the site Rv using the percent reduction credits in Table 5 and Equation 9 to achieve the standard of 0.2 Rv as in Chapter 3.1. The Rv of the contributing drainage area will be reduced by the amount of the RRM Credit listed in Table 5, and the area weighted site Rv will be recomputed (Equation 2). This process will be repeated until the site Rv is 0.2 or less.

$$GIP\ Rv = CDA\ Rv(1 - RRM\ Credit) \qquad \textbf{Equation 2}$$

In combining the two methodologies for the same drainage area, the RRM water quality treatment volume will be calculated as in Chapter 3.1. However, if the full water quality treatment volume cannot be captured, the remainder of the stipulated rainfall must be treated to 80% TSS to be in compliance. The equations below will guide the designer in calculating the remainder of the stipulated volume.

Step 3: Calculate Treatment Volume

The equations below are used to calculate the rainfall depth captured by the RRM GIP (Equation 11), the difference from the stipulated rainfall amount (Equation 12), and the remaining TSS water quality treatment volume (Equation 12).

$$\frac{Tv \times 12}{43560 \times Rv \times A} = P_{captured} \qquad \textbf{Equation 11}$$

Where:

Tv	=	Volume treated by RRM GIPs
P	=	Rainfall Depth in Inches captured by RRM GIPs
Rv	=	Contributing Drainage Area Rv (pre-reduction)
A	=	Contributing Drainage Area in Acres

Use Equation 12 to calculate the remainder of the stipulated rainfall ($P_{remaining}$) to be treated with a TSS PTP.

$$P_{stipulated} - P_{captured} = P_{remaining} \qquad \textbf{Equation 12}$$

Where:

$P_{stipulated}$ $\qquad$ = $\qquad$ 1.0 inch (as in RRM)

Equation 13 below is then used to calculate the water quality volume remaining to be treated by the TSS PTP. (If no runoff was captured by a RRM GIP, $P_{remaining}$ is 1.0 inch)

$$WQv = \frac{1.1 \times P_{remaining} \times R_V \times A}{12\frac{in}{ft}} \times 43,560\frac{ft^2}{ac} \qquad \textbf{Equation 13}$$

Where:

WQv	=	Water Quality Volume required to treat by TSS PTPs (80%)
$P_{remaining}$	=	Remainder of the stipulated rainfall
1.1	=	TRM Multiplier
Rv	=	Contributing Drainage Area Rv (pre-reduction)
A	=	Contributing Drainage Area in Acres

If using a treatment practice from the TSS listing in Table 8 that achieves less than 80% treatment, the designer must use a combination of TSS practices to reach the prescribed 80% treatment requirement. The following equation can be used when using a series of practices.

$$TRM\%_{total} = TSS_A + TSS_B - \frac{(TSS_A \times TSS_B)}{100}$$ **Equation 14**

Where:

TSS%$_{total}$	=	total TSS removal for treatment series
TSS$_A$	=	% TSS removal of the first (upstream) TSS PTP
TSS$_B$	=	% TSS removal of the second (downstream) TSS PTP

Table 8 – Approved TSS PTP Applications	
Structural Control	**TSS Credit (%)**
General Application BMPs	
Stormwater Wet Pond	80
Constructed Wetland	80
Surface Sand Filter	80
Water Quality Swale (Wet or Dry)	80
Bioretention	80
Limited Application BMPs	
Infiltration Trench/Basin	80
Organic Filter	80
Underground Sand Filter	80
Perimeter Sand Filter	80
Porous Pavement with amended sub-base	80
Porous Pavement	70
Dry Extended Detention Pond	60
Filter Strip	50
Grass Channel	50
Gravity (Oil-Grit) Separator	40

Source: The Stormwater Manager's Resource Center (2000)

3.2.2.3   Site Example

The following example explains how the RRM and TSS methods can be utilized together to manage the water quality on three cases. Each site has the same cover condition (1.6 acres of Impervious Area; 0.4 acres of Forest C soil). The different subsurface limitations are noted in the figure below and are used to illustrate the calculations for the three cases.

Site$_1$ has no limiting conditions within the drainage area and infiltrative Green Infrastructure Practices (GIPs) will be applied; Site$_2$ has existing subsurface utility constraints on the site that would limit the depth of an infiltration practice; and Site$_3$ has a shallow depth to high water table.

Figure 6 – Site Example for Combined Methodology

Site₁

This site does not have any limitations and will have Permeable Pavers installed. The initial Rv of the impervious areas (Rv = 0.95) will be reduced by 75% when GIP-03 Permeable Pavement Level 2 is applied. The steps for $Site_1$ will be calculated just as in Section 3.1.

Step 1: Calculate the Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95 \times 1.6\ ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4\ ac)]}{(1.6\ ac + 0.4\ ac)} = 0.77 \geq 0.2$$

Step 2: Reduce Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95(1 - 0.75) \times 1.6\ ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4\ ac)]}{(1.6\ ac + 0.4\ ac)} = 0.2 \leq 0.2$$

Step 3. Calculate Treatment Volume

The treatment volume is calculated as in Chapter 3.1 of this manual. The following equation, found in GIP-03 for Permeable Pavement Level 2, is used to calculate the water quality treatment volume which has a 1.1 multiplier applied for the Level of Permeable Pavement used.

$$Tv = \frac{1.1 \times 1.0\ in \times 0.95 \times\ 1.6ac}{12\frac{in}{ft}} \times 43{,}560\ \frac{ft^2}{ac} = \mathbf{6{,}069ft^3}$$

Site$_2$

This site has existing subsurface utility constraints on the site that would limit the depth of an infiltration practice. The permeable pavers can only treat 4,000 ft^3 of runoff; therefore, a TSS reduction practice will be employed to account for the remaining volume.

Step 1. Calculate the Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95 \times 1.6\ ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4\ ac)]}{(1.6\ ac + 0.4\ ac)} = 0.77 \geq 0.2$$

Step 2. Reduce Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95(1 - 0.75) \times 1.6\ ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4\ ac)]}{(1.6\ ac + 0.4\ ac)} = 0.2 \leq 0.2$$

Step 3. Calculate Treatment Volume

$$Tv = \frac{1.1 \times 1.0\ in \times 0.95 \times\ 1.6\ ac}{12\frac{in}{ft}} \times 43{,}560\frac{ft^2}{ac} = \boldsymbol{6{,}069 ft^3}$$

However this area can only treat 4,000 ft^3 of runoff with permeable pavement. Next, the rainfall depth captured is calculated using Equation 11 if only 4,000 ft^3 is captured:

$$\frac{Tv \times 12}{43{,}560 \times Rv \times A} = P_{captured}$$

$$\frac{4000 \times 12}{43{,}560 \times 1.1 \times 1.0 \times 0.95 \times 1.6} = P_{captured}$$

$$0.66\ inch = P_{captured}$$

Calculate the remaining rainfall depth to be treated using a TSS reduction practice using Equation 12.

$$P_{remaining} = P_{stipulated} - \boldsymbol{P_{captured}}$$

$$P_{remaining} = 1.0\ in - 0.66\ in$$

$$P_{remaining} = 0.34\ in$$

Calculate TSS Reduction Water Quality Volume using Equation 13, where 1.1 is the TRM multiplier.

$$WQv = \frac{1.1 \times 0.34 \, in \times 0.95 \times \, 1.6 \, ac}{12 \frac{in}{ft}} \times 43,560 \frac{ft^2}{ac} = \mathbf{2,063 ft^3}$$

Therefore 2,063 ft³ must be treated by a TRM practice that alone or in combination achieves 80% TSS reduction in Table 8.

Site$_3$

This site cannot support a GIP, which is dependent on infiltration, due to a shallow depth to high water table condition. Therefore, a constructed wetland will be used which achieves a TSS Reduction of 80%.

Step 1. Calculate the Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95 \times 1.6 \, ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4 \, ac)]}{(1.6 \, ac + 0.4 \, ac)} = 0.77 \geq 0.2$$

Step 2. Reduce Site Rv

$$Rv = \frac{[(0.95(1 - 0.80) \times 1.6 \, ac) + (0.04 \times 0.4 \, ac)]}{(1.6 \, ac + 0.4 \, ac)} = 0.16 \leq 0.2$$

Step 3. Calculate Treatment Volume

Calculate the Water Quality Volume for the TSS PTP using Equation 10.

$$WQv = \frac{1.1 \times 1.0 \times 0.95 \times \, 1.6 \, ac}{12 \frac{in}{ft}} \times 43,560 \frac{ft^2}{ac} = \mathbf{6,069 ft^3}$$

Therefore, 6,069 ft³ must be treated by the constructed wetland (80% TSS reduction) in Table 8.

Chapter 4

4   Post Construction Water Quantity

4.1 Detention Recommendations

This chapter outlines the recommended criterion for managing water quantity. Detention recommendations stated herein do not supersede nor dictate detention, flood control, or other drainage requirements established by local jurisdictions within the Hamilton County Water Quality Program boundary.

4.1.1 New Development

Overbank Flood Protection (Qp)

The Overbank Flood Protection criterion specifies that the post-development 2, 10, 25, and 50-year, 24-hour storm peak discharge rate (denoted Qp) not exceed the pre- development (or undisturbed natural conditions) discharge rate.

Also note that application of nonstructural site design practices that encourage infiltration and reduce the total amount of runoff will also reduce the overbank flood protection volume by a proportional amount.

Determining the Overbank Flood Protection Volume (Qp)

❖ *Peak-Discharge and Hydrograph Generation*: The SCS hydrograph method provided can be used to compute the peak discharge rate and runoff for the various storms.

❖ *Rainfall Depths*: The rainfall depths of the design storms in Hamilton County are from Lovell Field (Gage 40-1656) NOAA Atlas 14 Volume 2, Version 3, 2004.

- 1-year storm   3.08 inches
- 2-year storm   3.68 inches
- 5-year storm   4.48 inches
- 10-year storm   5.12 inches
- 25-year storm   5.98 inches
- 50-year storm   6.67 inches
- 100-year storm   7.38 inches

❖ *Design* Analysis: Analysis shall use criteria and standards as provided by the local jurisdiction.

Extreme Flood Protection (Qf)

The Extreme Flood Protection criterion specifies that all stormwater management facilities be designed to control runoff for the 100-year, 24 hour storm (denoted Qf) so that the rate at which flow is released over the entire runoff discharge period is equal to or less than predevelopment flows. It is recommended that stormwater systems be designed such that Qf is routed through the drainage system and stormwater

management facilities to determine the effects on the facilities, adjacent property, and downstream areas. Emergency spillways of structural controls should be designed appropriately to safely pass the resulting flows.

Determining the Extreme Flood Protection Criteria (Qf)

❖ *Peak-Discharge and Hydrograph Generation:* The SCS unit hydrograph method can be used to compute the peak discharge rate and runoff for the 100-year, 24-hour storm.

❖ *Rainfall Depths:* The rainfall depth of the 100-year, 24-hour storm for Hamilton County is 7.38 inches (Lovell Field, Gage 40-1656, NOAA Atlas 14 Volume 2, Version 3, 2004).

❖ *Off-site Drainage Areas:* Runoff from off-site drainage areas should be included in calculations.

4.1.2 Redevelopment

Redevelopment is the alteration of developed land that disturbs one acre or more and increases the site building impervious footprint, or offers a new opportunity for stormwater controls. Demolition and reconstruction is considered development and not redevelopment. Note: Redevelopment is not intended to include such activities as exterior remodeling, which would not be expected to cause adverse stormwater quality impacts.

If the area of redevelopment disturbs less than one acre, then on-site detention may not be required. If the area of redevelopment disturbs greater than one acre, then the downstream impact must be examined. If a "no impact" can be demonstrated, then on-site detention is not required. If an increase in downstream flooding is determined, then an engineering fix to alleviate the increase in flooding or on-site detention must be implemented. On-site detention will be based on the "50 percent rule". If the total land disturbance area is less than 50 percent of the overall site area, then detention is required for the disturbed area only.   If the total land disturbance area is greater than 50 percent of the overall site area, then detention is required for the entire site area.   The flowchart below will aid the designer in complying with redevelopment detention requirements.

Is the Redevelopment <1 acre? — Yes → No detention required

No ↓

Is there a demonstrated "no impact" downstream? — Yes → No detention required

No ↓

Implement engineering fix? — Yes → No detention required

No ↓

Is the land disturbance area less than 50 percent of the overall site area? — Yes → No detention required

↓

Detain entire site area

Chapter 5

References

1. *California Storm Water Best Management Practice Handbooks*, Camp Dresser & McKee et.al. for the California SWQTF, 1993.

2. *Caltrans Storm Water Quality Handbooks*, Camp Dresser & McKee et.al. for the California Department of Transportation, 1997.

3. *City of Franklin Stormwater Best Management Practices Manual,* City of Franklin, Stormwater Management Program, January 2014.

4. Chow, Ven Te. *Open Channel Hydraulics*, McGraw-Hill, Inc., 1959.

5. *CSN Tech. Bull. No. 4, Technical Support for the Bay-Wide Runoff Reduction Method, Ver. 2.0, Chesapeake Stormwater Network, (undated).*

6. *Landcare Field Guide – An Introduction to Land Degradation*, Cullen Gunn.

7. *Metropolitan Nashville – Davidson County Stormwater Management Manual, Volume 5 – Low Impact Development,* Metropolitan Government of Nashville and Davidson County, Metro Water Services, June 2012.

8. *Precipitation-Frequency Atlas of the United States.* NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 2, Version 3.0, G. M. Bonnin, D. Martin, B. Lin, T. Parzybok, M. Yekta, and D. Riley, NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland, 2006.

9. Roesner, L.A., Aldrich, J., Hartigan, J.P., et.al., *Urban Runoff Quality Management – WEF Manual of Practice No. 23 / ASCE Manual and Report on Engineering Practice No. 87*, 1998.

10. *Sevenmile Creek Basin Pilot Stormwater Quality Master Plan*, Camp Dresser & McKee et.al. for the Metropolitan Nashville and Davidson County Department of Public Works, February, 2000.

11. *Storm Water Technology Fact Sheet - Wet Detention Ponds*, United States Environmental Protection Agency, 832-F-99-048, September 1999.

12. *Storm Water Management for Construction Activities – Developing Pollution Prevention Plans and Best Management Practices*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 482N, September 1992.

13. *The Stormwater Manager's Resource Center (SMRC) website*, www.stormwatercenter.net, Center for Watershed Protection, Inc., 2000.

14. *Technical Memorandum: The Runoff Reduction Method, Center for Watershed Protection, April 2008.*

15. *User's Manual 1.06: Watershed Management Model*, Camp Dresser & McKee for Rouge River National Wet Weather National Demonstration Project for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, August 1998.